

**Remarks/Arguments**

Applicants thank the Examiner for the continued attention to the current application. Claims 2, 4 - 9, 11 - 13, 16 - 22, 25 and 27 were examined. Claims 16 and 27 have been amended for clarity.

**Response to Advisory Action**

In the advisory action dated May 19, 2010, it was noted that further describing the function steps of (I.) Generating a function name; (II.) Calling user interface control instructions; and (III.) Rendering the DOM would help distinguish the current application from the prior art.

Applicants respectfully submit that the previous claims are patentable over the prior art. However, in an attempt to advance the prosecution of the current application, Applicants have amended the claims to clarify the steps of generating a function name, calling user interface control instructions and rendering the DOM as requested.

Independent claim 16 has been amended to clarify a function name is generated for each user interface control element located by searching the DOM. Each function name associated with the located user interface control is based on the namespace of the associated user interface control element and the generated name follows a predetermined naming convention. The claim has been further amended to clarify that user interface control instructions associated with respective user interface controls are called using the generated function name.

Applicants respectfully submit that current claim 16, as well as the claims dependent therefrom, recite subject matter not taught or suggested by the prior art of record and so comply with both 35 U.S.C. 102 and 35 U.S.C. 103.

Similarly, Applicants have amended independent claim 27 to clarify that the steps of generating the function name, calling the user interface call instructions and rendering the DOM. In particular, the claim language has been amended to clarify that each of the user interface control instructions are associated with respective user interface control elements through a control function name. An initialization function traverses each node in the DOM and for each node identified by the prefix of the namespace associated with user interface control elements, the initialization function generates a function name based on the namespace of the identified user interface control element. The generated function name corresponds to one of the control function names. The generated function name is used to call the user interface control instructions. The initialization function further causes the viewer to render the DOM.

Applicants respectfully submit that current claim 27, as well as the claims dependent therefrom, recite subject matter not taught or suggested by the prior art of record and so comply with both 35 U.S.C. 102 and 35 U.S.C. 103.

### **Closing**

In view of the amendments, remarks and having dealt with all of the objections and rejections raised by the Examiner, reconsideration and allowance of the current application is courteously requested.

RCE and Extension fees are being paid via EFS WEB in the amount of \$1,920.00.

In the event there are any fee deficiencies or additional fees are payable, please charge them (or credit any overpayment) to our Deposit Account 08-1391.

**HAYES SOLOWAY P.C.**  
3450 E. SUNRISE DRIVE,  
SUITE 140  
TUCSON, AZ 85718  
TEL. 520.882.7623  
FAX. 520.882.7643

175 CANAL STREET  
MANCHESTER, NH 03101  
TEL. 603.668.1400  
FAX. 603.668.8567

Respectfully submitted,



Norman P. Soloway  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 24,315

**CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Patent Office  
via the electronic filing procedure on June 23, 2010 at Tucson, Arizona.

By 

NPS:ps

HAYES SOLOWAY P.C.  
3450 E. SUNRISE DRIVE,  
SUITE 140  
TUCSON, AZ 85718  
TEL. 520.882.7623  
FAX. 520.882.7643

175 CANAL STREET  
MANCHESTER, NH 03101  
TEL. 603.668.1400  
FAX. 603.668.8567